UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,514	01/29/2007	Chuen Khiang Wang	P26634	6698
	7590 12/03/201 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			AHMED, SELIM U	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/598,514	WANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	SELIM AHMED	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc	ctober 2010.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	_						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-4,14,23,24 and 26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-13,15-22,25 and 27-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	4) T Intent	(DTO 442)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
Notice of Informal Patent Application							

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/27/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 11-13, 15-22, 29, 30, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 2004/0108581; Li hereinafter) in view of Shim et al (US 6,531,784; Shim hereinafter) and further in view of Isaak et al (US 2001/0035572; Isaak hereinafter).

With regard to claim 1, Li discloses a semiconductor package e.g. Figs. 1-9 comprising: a first substrate 10 having a die receiving area (area where die is attached), a first adhesive layer (para[0044]; adhesive layer in Fig. 9 is not labeled), a window opening 211 and a plurality of conductive traces (para[0033]);

Art Unit: 2826

a first semiconductor die 24, having an electrically active side (26) and an electrically inactive side (28), the electrically active side 26 being mounted to said first substrate 10 through the first adhesive 35 at the die receiving area, to electrically couple said first semiconductor die 24 to the plurality of conductive traces 22a, 22b, 22c (according to para[0041], pads (22a, 22b, 22c) of substrate 10 are connected to contact 27, conductive member i.e. 2nd substrate 40 and the 2nd contact 50); a second adhesive layer 37 having a first side attached to an electrically inactive side (28) of said first semiconductor die 24; a second substrate 40 having a die receiving area (area where 45 attached), and a side 56 with terminals (where bond wires 58 contact); a third adhesive layer 42 having a first side (side that faces 40) attached to the side of said second substrate 40 with the terminals (e.g. Fig. 7); a last semiconductor die 45, having an electrically active side 48 and an electrically inactive side 52, the electrically inactive side 52 being mounted to the second side of said third adhesive layer 42, and the electrically active side 48 being electrically coupled to said conductive traces 22a, 22b, 22c (para [0041]) of said first 10 or second substrate 40; an encapsulant (abstract; Fig. 7) to encapsulate said semiconductor dies and electrical coupling (Fig. 7); and signal interconnections 60a, 60b, 60c to transfer an electrical signal from said conductive traces 22a, 22b, 22c to an exterior of the package (Fig. 7).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

As discussed above, Li's Figs. 1-7 discloses the limitations of claim 1 with the exception of a redistribution device that electrically couples the electrically active side of the last semiconductor die to said conductive traces of said first or second substrate. However, Fig.3 of Shim discloses a redistribution device 50a that electrically couples the electrically active side of said last semiconductor die 16 to said conductive traces 22 of said first or second substrate 12. As Shim pointed out that the invention provides a semiconductor package incorporating "spacer strips" that enable one or more semiconductor dies having central terminal pads to be stacked on top of one another within the package and reliably wire bonded to an associated substrate without shorting of the bonded wires. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the "spacer stripes" (i.e. redistribution device) with Li's device and results would have been predictable.

Page 4

Additionally, Li's Figs. 1-7 embodiment discloses the limitations of claim 1 with the exception of the first substrate having a window opening. However, in Fig. 9 (different embodiment), Li discloses a substrate 210 having a window opening 211. As it is known in the art and shown by LI, electrical connection can be made between the chip and outer pad of the substrate through the window opening by electrical wire. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine a substrate with window opening with Figs. 1-7 embodiment for predictable results.

Art Unit: 2826

Page 5

Furthermore, Li's Figs. 1-7 discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 but does not explicitly disclose the second substrate 40 having a plurality of conductive traces. However, fig. 5, para [0040] of Issak disclose a substrate 26 having a plurality of conductive traces 40 a side with terminals 38. It is common knowledge in the art that substrate with conductive traces and terminals are used for transmitting electrical signal through the conductive traces to external interconnections. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute Isaak's substrate that has a plurality of conductive traces with Li's substrate and results would have been predictable.

With regard to claim 11, e.g. Fig. 7 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein the size of said first semiconductor die 24 may be smaller, equal to, or greater than the size of said last semiconductor die 45.

With regard to claim 12, e.g. Fig. 9 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein the electrical coupling from said first semiconductor die to said first substrate 10 is by wire bond 258.

With regard to claim 13, the claims does not distinguish over the Li reference regardless of the process used to electrical coupling from said first semiconductor die 25 to said first substrate 10 because only the final product is

Art Unit: 2826

relevant, not the process of making such as "TAB method". Note that a "product by process claim" is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in " product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear. See also MPEP 706.03(e).

With regard to claim 15, e.g. Fig.7 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein the first semiconductor die 25 is electrically coupled to the first substrate 10 by a flip chip method.

With regard to claim 16, Li in view of Issak discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 with the exception of wherein said last semiconductor die is electrically coupled to said second substrate by a flip chip method. However, according to Fig. 2 of Li, a first IC device is coupled to the substrate by a flip-chip method, which is well known in the art. So, similar to claim 15 rejection and Fig.2 of Li, it

Art Unit: 2826

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to electrically couple the last semiconductor die to said second substrate by a flip chip method.

Page 7

With regard to claim 17, in light of claim 16 rejection above, e.g. Fig. 7 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein said last semiconductor die 45 is stacked with an inactive side facing an inactive side of a flip chip semiconductor die on said second substrate 40.

With regard to claim 18, e.g. para[0039] of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein said second substrate 40 is formed of any of the following materials including silicon, ceramic, laminate, aluminum, and any material that can be ("can be" was treated as functional and not given significant patentable weight) manufactured with a plurality of conductor traces.

With regard to claim 19, e.g. Fig. 5 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein said second substrate 40 is formed of a thin laminate, a flexible circuit, or a lead- frame and processed to increase rigidity (Li is capable of meeting this functional limitation) for attachment and an electrical interconnection process.

Art Unit: 2826

With regard to claim 20, e.g. Fig. 7 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein said second substrate 40 has terminals (where 58 connects) along its periphery allowing interconnects to convey electrical signals to and from said last semiconductor die 45 and said first substrate 10 at any side of said last semiconductor die 45 (since chip 45 is

connected to 22b and 40 is connected 22c; and 22b & 22c are connected,

electrical signal can be conveyed between 40 and 45).

Page 8

With regard to claims 21 and 22, Li discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 and Fig. 7 of Li further discloses said second substrate 40 having the terminals (that connect to 22c) positioned in optimum positions along its periphery (Fig. 7) such that wire bonding from the terminals to said first substrate 10 allow shortest interconnection paths to the package external pins 60c or from said first semiconductor die 24 to the terminals (Fig. 7). Li fails to disclose a second substrate with a plurality of conductive traces. However, Figs. 2, 4, 5, para [0040] of Isaak disclose a substrate 26 includes a plurality of conductive traces 40 having the terminals 38. It is common knowledge in the art that substrate with conductive traces and terminals are used for transmitting electrical signal through the conductive traces to external interconnections. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute Isaak's

Art Unit: 2826

substrate that has a plurality of conductive traces with Li's substrate and results would have been predictable.

Page 9

With regard to claim 29, e.g. abstract, Fig.7 of Li discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein said encapsulant is applied to the package to cure.

With regard to claim 30, e.g. Fig. 7, abstract of LI discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein said encapsulant comprises a lid to cover said semiconductor die and electrical coupling.

With regard to claim 31, Li in view of Isaak discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 1, wherein all the adhesive layers can be preattached to a receiving area or to a matching side of a part to attach to the receiving area (Functional limitations "can be" not given significant patentable weight since all the adhesive layers can be pre-attached to a receiving area or to a matching side of a part to attach to the receiving area).

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in views of Shim and Isaak as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Tao et al (US 6,118,176; Tao hereinafter).

Art Unit: 2826

With regard to claim 5, Li in views of Shim and Isaak discloses the limitations of claim 1 including said last semiconductor die 45 has a plurality of bond pads 50 (fig. 7), but with the exception of whereby said bond pads 50 are not positioned near the periphery of said last semiconductor die 45, said bond pads 50 being electrically relocated to the periphery of said last semiconductor die by said redistribution device. However, e.g. in Fig. 4 of Tao discloses said bond pads 407 are not positioned near the periphery of said last semiconductor die 401, said bond pads 407 being electrically relocated to the periphery of said last semiconductor die 401 by a redistribution device 406. It is apparent that such an arrangement would reduce the risk of interference of the electrical interconnection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to relocate redistribution devices, bond pads as shown by Tao for Li's device and results would have been predictable.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in views of Shim, Isaak and Tao as applied to claim 5 and further in view of Yang et al (US 2004/0124539; Yang hereinafter).

With regard to claim 6, Li in views of Shim, Isaak and Tao discloses all of the limitations of claim 5 as discussed above but lacks wherein said redistribution device includes a wafer redistribution layer. However, Fig. 2, para[0004, 0005] of Yang discloses said redistribution device includes a wafer i.e. dummy chip redistribution layer 130. Using dummy chip (wafer) redistribution layer, in addition to the electrical interconnection advantages, reliability of the system can be

improved through CTE (coefficient of thermal expansion) matching since active device and dummy chip redistribution layer are made of same material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine a wafer (dummy chip) redistribution layer with Shim's spacer strips and results would have been predictable.

5. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in views of Shim, Isaak, Tao and Yang as applied to claim 5 or 6 and further in view of Shim et al (US 2004/0145039; Shim 2 hereinafter).

With regard to claim 7 and 8, Li in views of Shim, Isaak, Tao and Yang discloses all of the limitations of claim 5 or 6 respectively with the exception of said redistribution device includes a metallic interposer with a plurality of conductive traces, attached to the active surface of the last semiconductor die with an adhesive, with a plurality of electrical couplings from the bond pads to the metallic interposer. However, Fig. 1 of Shim 2 discloses said redistribution device (124, 118, 130, 120 form redistribution device) includes a metallic interposer 124 with a plurality of conductive traces 120; attached to the active surface of the last semiconductor die 102 with an adhesive 116, with a plurality of electrical couplings 122 from the bond pads (pads on 108) to the metallic interposer 124. According to para[0089] of Shim 2, "The routability of the present invention affords still another important advantage--the ability to combine subsystems in a manner similar to package-level stacking but with the added benefit of high thermal performance and high board-level reliability." It would have been

Art Unit: 2826

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute metallic interposer as Shim 2 discloses with Shim's spacer stripes and results would have been predictable.

With regard to claims 9 and 10, e.g. col.4, lines 1-40 of Tao discloses the semiconductor package according to claim 8, wherein said adhesive is an adhesive paste or coating or an adhesive film.

 Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in views of Shim and Isaak and further in view of Her et al (US 2002/0180023; Her hereinafter).

With regard to claim 25, Li in views of Shim and Issak discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 with the exception of the semiconductor device further comprising a spacer in the stacking of the semiconductor dies. However, in Fig. 4B of Her discloses the semiconductor device further comprising a spacer 420a in the stacking of the semiconductor dies. As disclosed in para[0038] of Her, Good heat-dissipating effect can be obtained by exposing the heat dissipating surface of the spacer, because of superior heat conductivity of the spacers by placing a spacer in between dies to form stacked dies to separate the dies from each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the spacer with Li's device and results would have been predictable.

Art Unit: 2826

7. Claims 27, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in views of Shim and Isaak as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Bolken et al (US 2004/0178482; Bolken hereinafter).

With regard to claim 27, or 28, Li in view of Isaak discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 with the exception of the semiconductor package wherein said encapsulant is a liquid encapsulant or wherein said encapsulant is a transfer molded molding compound respectively. However, e.g. claim 5, 7 of Bolken discloses said encapsulant is a liquid encapsulant and said encapsulant is a transfer molded molding compound. The liquid encapsulant and transfer molded are common technique and known in the art to encapsulate the device and protecting from outside environmental impact. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to encapsulate the device of Li with technique disclose by Bolken and results would have been predictable.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5-13, 15-22, 25, 27-31 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of new ground of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SELIM AHMED whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2826

(571)270-5025. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sue Purvis can be reached on (571)272-1236. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SELIM AHMED/ Examiner, Art Unit 2826

/Thomas L Dickey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2826